AET Star Rubric 2023-24 - Greenhand, Chapter and State Degree

AET Star Rubric 2023-24 - Greenhand, Chapter and State Degree				
Performance Review A	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points	
SAE Description & Explanation	3	2 to 1	0 to -1	
Performance Review A, Question 1 Score:	Clearly and specifically describes SAE project(s) listed in this application. If project involves non-cash exchanges or trades they are specifically explained.	Provides a basic explanation of the project but lacks clear and specific detail of the SAEs in this application. If present non-cash or trade arrangements are mentioned but lack specific details.	Provides a <u>vague/unclear</u> understanding of SAE project(s) in this application. If present, non-cash or trade arrangements are mentioned but <u>do not provide</u> enough detail to be clearly understood.	
Roles, Responsibilities and/or	3	2 to 1	0 to -1	
Management Decisions Made Performance Review A, Question 2 Score:	Response demonstrates a <u>clear</u> <u>description</u> of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program. (1 to 0)	Response demonstrates a <u>limited</u> <u>description</u> of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program. (0.5 to 0)	Response demonstrates <u>little or no description</u> of roles, responsibilities, and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program. (0)	
	Response demonstrates significant change or progression (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented (2 to 0).	Response demonstrates <u>limited</u> change or progression (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented. (1.5 to 0)	Response demonstrates <u>no change or progression</u> (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented. (0 to -1)	
Challenges Performance Review A, Question 3	2	1	0 to -1	
Score:	Response demonstrates a complete explanation of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge. (1 to 0)	Response demonstrates a <u>limited</u> explanation of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge. (0.5 to 0)	Response demonstrates <u>little or no explanation</u> of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge. (0)	
	Response demonstrated candidate's complete involvement in addressing the challenge. (2 to 0)	Response demonstrated candidate's <u>limited involvement</u> in addressing the challenge. (1.5 to 0)	Response demonstrated no involvement in addressing the challenge. (0 to -1)	
Performance Review B	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points	
Performance Review B -	3	2 to 1	0 to -1	
Accomplishment #1 Performance Review B, Question 1 Score:	Response clearly identifies and describes a measurable accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project.	Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project has little clarity, detail or measurability.	Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that had little or no influence on the growth and success of the project or provides no clarity, detail or measurability.	
Performance Review B -	3	2 to 1	0 to -1	
Accomplishment #2 Performance Review B, Question 2 Score:	Response clearly identifies and describes a measurable accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project.	Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project has little clarity, detail or measurability.	Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that had little or no influence on the growth and success of the project or provides no clarity, detail or measurability.	
Performance Review B -	3	2 to 1	0 to -1	
Issue/Trend/Tech/Policy Impact Performance Review B, Question 3 Score:	Response clearly identifies one issue, trend, technology or public policy that impacted the project. <u>Describes the specific & measurable impact in detail.</u>	Response identifies an issue, trend, technology or public policy that impacted the project. Describes the impact vaguely and/or impact description is not specifically measurable.	Response does not identify a relevant issue, trend, technology or public policy that impacted the project. Impact is poorly or not at all described or understood or had no measurable impact.	
Performance Review C	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points	
Performance Review C #1	2	1	0 to -1	
Performance Review C, Question 1 Score:	Career objective is <u>clear and in a</u> <u>specific career field</u> . Career exploration and research steps to research this choice are described in detail. NOTE: Career objective does not have to be related to agriculture or natural resources.	career. Exploration and research steps are not clear or specific.	Career objective is missing or not well defined. Little to no exploration or career research is described. NOTE: Career objective does not have to be related to agriculture or natural resources.	
Performance Review C #2 Opportunity 1	3	2 to 1	0 to -1	
Performance Review C, Question 2 Score:	Experience/activity/opportunity described is <u>clear and specific</u> . Convincingly describes how the activity provided preparation for named career.	Experience/activity/opportunity is vaguely described. How the activity provided preparation for named career is only vaguely shown or is unrealistic.	Experience/activity/opportunity is missing or not well described. How the activity provided preparation for named career is not established or is wildly unrealistic.	

Performance Review C #2 Opportunity 2	3	2 to 1	0 to -1
Performance Review C, Question 3 Score:	Experience/activity/opportunity described is <u>clear and specific</u> . Convincingly describes how the activity provided preparation for named career.		Experience/activity/opportunity is missing or not well described. How the activity provided preparation for named career is not established or is wildly unrealistic.
Criteria	High Points	Mid Points	Low Points
SAE Outcome & Efficiency Factors Performance Review Efficiency	5 to 4	3 to 2	1 to 0
Factors Score:	An appropriate and clear list of efficiencies gained and clearly relate to the SAEs listed in this application.	A somewhat appropriate and somewhat clear list of efficiencies gained and clearly relate to the SAEs listed in this application, but lacking some details.	A poor or missing list of efficiencies gained for the SAEs listed in this application.
SAE Skills and Planned Activities Award App Summary & Section F. SAE	10 to 7	6 to 4	3 to 0
Plan Skills, Planned Activities and outcomes Score:	For ALL SAE(s) listed in the application. (1) Listed standards clearly applies to SAE projects. Also, the description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard.	For ALL SAE(s) listed in the application. (1) Listed standards vaguely applies to SAE projects. Also, the description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing standard.	For ALL SAE(s) listed in the application. (1) Listed standards clearly applies to SAE projects. Also, the description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard.
SAE Annual Summary	10 to 7	6 to 4	3 to 0
SAE Summary (Yearly) for each SAE listed - See Section F. Scope / Annual Summary for each SAE listed Score:	Illustrates a clear summary of annual growth from year to year, responsibilities, important outcomes and engagement across all years and SAEs listed.	A somewhat clear summary of annual growth from year to year, responsibilities, important outcomes and engagement across all years and SAEs listed.	A poor summary of annual growth from year to year, responsibilities, important outcomes and engagement across all years listed.
SAE Plans Student SAE(s) plans for each SAE -	10 to 7	6 to 4	3 to 0
See Section F. SAE Plan for each SAE listed Score:	Illustrates a clear SAE description, planned us of time/financial investments and clear learning objectives that relate to the SAE for ALL SAEs listed in the application.	A somewhat clear SAE description, planned us of time/financial investments and a somewhat clear learning objectives that relate to the SAE.	A poor SAE description, planned us of time/financial investments and a poor listing of learning objectives that relate to the SAE, or missing information.
Scope & Complexity of Records / Project	10 to 7	6 to 4	3 to 0
See Section F. SAE Expenses, Journals or other entries Score:	Illustrates a complex project, a variety of responsibilities and a significant amount of hours and/or earnings. This is a score listed for ALL SAEs listed in the application.	Illustrates a somewhat complex project, a smaller variety of responsibilities and a somewhat significant amount of hours and/or earnings. This is a score listed for ALL SAEs listed in the application.	Illustrates a simple project with few responsibilities and a low amount of hours and earnings. This is a score listed for ALL SAEs listed in the application.
SAE Photos and Captions See Section F. SAE Photos & Captions	10 to 7	6 to 4	3 to 0
in EACH SAE Score:	Candidate has SAE photos in each SAE with clearly descriptive captions that demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE. Also, the candidate has photos that demonstrate and describe safety practices relevant to the project described in the application.	Candidate has SAE photos in each SAE with vaguely descriptive captions that demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE. Also, the candidate has photos that vaguely demonstrate and describe safety practices relevant to the project described in the application.	Candidate lacks SAE photos in each SAE with poorly descriptive captions that demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE. Also, the candidate has photos that poorly demonstrate and describe safety practices relevant to the project described in the application.
SAE Journals and or Finances Complete, appropriate and accurate	10 to 7	6 to 4	3 to 0
SAE Journals and/or Finances - See Section F. SAE Expenses, Journals or other entries Score:	Illustrates an complete, appropriate, and accurate set of records that represent the timeframe of the project, and appropriate records for the scope of the project.	Illustrates a somewhat complete, appropriate, and accurate set of records that represent the timeframe of the project, but lack some aspects. Also, somewhat illustrates records for the scope of the project, but misses certain aspects.	A poor set of records that do not represent a logical listing of records and timeframe of the project.
Spelling, Grammar, and Detail	5 to 4	3 to 2	1 to 0
Spelling and overall appeal of the application. See all sections. Score:	Very complete and proper use of grammar and details of records.	A somewhat complete use of grammar and details of records.	A poor use of grammar or details.
Student Resume & Additional	5 to 4	3 to 2	1 to 0
Information Development of a career objective and engagement in FFA, SAE and Community Service, and additional information (maybe transcript or letters of support)	Student resume includes a well-written career objective and high-level of engagement in all areas. Also, the additional information positively supports the students application.	Student resume includes a somewhat well-written career objective and somewhat engaged in all areas.	Student lacks a career objective and limited involvement in resume areas.
Score:			
Total: of 100			